## OFT – 08 (Agricultural Engineering) (Rabi 2023-24) 2<sup>nd</sup> Year

- Thematic area: Water Management
  Problem definition/Name of OFT: More no. of irrigation and bed making resulted cost of cultivation

1.	Title of On farm Trial (OFT)	Assessment of different methods irrigation on productivity of tomato in medium land.							
2.	Problem diagnosed	More no. of irrigation and bed making resulted high cost of cultivation							
3.	Details of technologies selected for	Drip irrigation with crop residue mulch Drip irrigation with plastic mulching							
	assessment/refinement								
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)								
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other,	RPCAU, Pusa 2022							
5	please specify)	Venetale has demoderated and destinated and sentence and							
5.	Production system and thematic area	Vegetable based production system and water management							
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	Technology option	No. of replication	Data related problem addresses		Yield components			
				No of irrigation	Number of fruits per plant	Fruits weight per plant			
		FP: Furrow/bed irrigation		13.9	13.41	1391			
		TO <sub>1</sub> : Drip irrigation with crop residue mulch	10	12.00	15.81	1630			
		TO2: Drip irrigation with plastic mulching		11.40	16.23	1729			
		SEm±							
		CD(P=0.05)							
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	On farm trial was conducted on 10 farmers' field of village Choridhi, Hesrag & Bisduring Rabi 2023-24 to find out the cost effective weeding method in tomato. The data co							
		during the trial clearly indicated that the minimum <b>No of irrigation</b> (11.40), maxim							
	fruits per plant (16.23) and maximum No. of fruits weight per plant (1729 gms) was								
	Technology option 2 i'e Use of Drip irrigation with plastic mulching. In sam (TO <sub>2</sub> ) maximum yield ( <b>275.64</b> q/ha), net income (Rs. <b>155212</b> ) and B:C ratio ( <b>3.3</b>								
		is significantly superior over FP and TO <sub>1</sub> . The percent yield enhancement 38.83 and 4.27 over							
		$TO_1$							
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	Unavailability of drip and plastic mulching s	sheet in	locality.					

9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	Participatory and interactive, Regular field visit, Field day & Farmers' reaction was satisfactory				

## B. Results with Table and good quality photographs in jpg.

	Technology options with detailed treatments	Area (ha in crop)			Cost of	Gross return	Net return	
Thematic area		Proposed	Actual	Yield (q/ha)	cultivation (Rs./ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs./ha)	BC ratio
Water Management	<b>FP:</b> Furrow/bed irrigation	0.133	0.133	198.54	72500	158832	86332	2.19
	TO <sub>1</sub> : Drip irrigation with crop residue mulch	0.133	0.133	264.35	68600	211480	142880	3.08
	TO <sub>2</sub> : Drip irrigation with plastic mulching	0.133	0.133	275.64	65300	220512	155212	3.38
	SEm±							
	CD(P=0.05)							



**FP**: Furrow/bed irrigation



TO<sub>1</sub>: Drip irrigation with crop residue mulch



TO<sub>2</sub>: Drip irrigation with plastic mulching